Federal Communications Commission FCC 04-135

Before the

Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of

Amendment of Parts 1, 21, 73, 74 and 101 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate the Provision of Fixed and Mobile Broadband Access, Educational and Other Advanced Services in the 2150-2162 and 2500-2690 MHz Bands) WT Docket No. 03-66) RM-10586)
Part 1 of the Commission's Rules – Further Competitive Bidding Procedures) WT Docket No. 03-67
Amendment of Parts 21 and 74 to Enable Multipoint Distribution Service and the Instructional Television Fixed Service Amendment of Parts 21 and 74 to Engage in Fixed Two-Way Transmissions) MM Docket No. 97-217)
Amendment of Parts 21 and 74 of the Commission's Rules With Regard to Licensing in the Multipoint Distribution Service and in the Instructional Television Fixed Service for the Gulf of Mexico) WT Docket No. 02-68) RM-9718)
Promoting Efficient Use of Spectrum Through Elimination of Barriers to the Development of Secondary Markets) WT-Docket No. 00-230

REPORT AND ORDER AND FURTHER NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING

COMMENTS ON FURTHER NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULES MAKING BY GRAND WIRELESS COMPANY

In the Commission's Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rules making on the above captioned Dockets, several questions were raised that warrant response.

Grand Wireless Company, a multiple BTA licensee in Northwestern Michigan, is currently providing broadband service in three Basic Trading Areas. Its experience is "real time" rather than unconstructed musings.

Annual License Fees: Currently the Commission has annual license fees that are the same regardless of population density. A licensee serving an area containing less than 100 people/square mile pays the same annual fee as a licensee serving an area containing 1000

people/square mile. The Commission is well aware of the difficulties in economies of scale for licensees serving rural communities. The Commission demonstrates this by having a sliding scale for the annual fees of broadcast television stations based upon population.

Grand Wireless believes a similar structure, perhaps simplified compared to the number of broadcast television categories, would better serve the rural operator. While the current fees may seem a trivial amount, to the rural operator already stretched thin by low population density it can be a high hurdle. As a rural operator every little bit helps and we would rather take most of that fee and use it to further our expansion.

<u>Definition of Rural:</u> The Commission asked what should be the guideline for defining "Rural". There is always benefit in various government entities having similar definitions particularly where their responsibilities overlap. The Commission and the Rural Utility Service (RUS) have both expressed a similar desire to see broadband provided in rural communities. The RUS has promoted loan and in some cases grant programs to that end. It would seem reasonable for the Commission to adopt rural definitions already established by the RUS.

Respectfully Submitted,

John de Celis Grand Wireless Company Michigan Operations 122 Ocean Road Ocean City MJ 08226 609-398-4312 john@speedconnect.com